

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JAMES M. KINDEr.

Plaintiff,

vs.

HARRAH'S ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 07CV2226

**ORDER DENYING APPLICATION
TO STRIKE NEWLY SUBMITTED
MATTERS PRESENTED IN
[PLAINTIFF'S] REPLY
[Doc. 21]**

On December 28, 2007, Plaintiff moved to amend the Complaint to add additional defendants
ting claims. (Doc. 12). After considering an Opposition to the motion and a Reply, the Court
an order on January 22, 2008 allowing amendment under the liberal standard set forth in Federal
f Civil Procedure 15 (the “Amendment Order,” Doc. 22). On January 21, 2008, the day before
urt issued the Amendment Order, Defendant filed a “Notice” entitled “Ex parte Application to
Newly Submitted Matters Presented in [Plaintiff’s] Reply,” in which Defendant also applied
ve to file a Sur-reply. (Doc. 21). The application as titled is now moot, since the Court has
y ruled on the Motion to Amend. However, even construing Defendant’s “application to strike”
otion for reconsideration of the Amendment Order, such motion is denied since the Court’s
mination that the liberal standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 allowed amendment did
pend upon Plaintiff’s evidentiary proffer in his Reply.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2

3 DATED: January 23, 2008

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



5 HON. DANA M. SABRAW
6 United States District Judge